#### FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF NEW YORK

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10045-0001

TELEPHONE 212 720-5024

FACSIMILE 212 720-1756

JOYCE.HANSEN@NY.FRB.ORG

JOYCE M. HANSEN
DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL
AND SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT

March 6, 2006

Mr. Martin Thomas C107 3/62 Financial Markets Infrastructure Unit Financial Services Policy and Financial Markets Internal Market and Services DG European Commission B -1049 Brussels BELGIUM

Dear Martin:

As we recently discussed on the phone, we have prepared a legal response to the EU Clearing and Settlement Legal Certainty Group Questionnaire. Enclosed you will find our answers. If you have any questions please feel free to contact me at (212) 720-5024 or Jennifer Wolgemuth at (212) 720-6911.

Sincerely,

Jovce M. Hansen

Enclosure

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 2 of 21



#### **EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

Internal Market and Services DG

FINANCIAL SERVICES POLICY AND FINANCIAL MARKETS Financial markets infrastructure

MARKT/G2/D(2005)

**Subject: EU Clearing and Settlement** 

**Legal Certainty Group** 

Questionnaire

Please provide clear and concise answers specifying the existing legal situation, whether there are points of uncertainty, a nd upon what specific elem ents the answer given depends (for example, the terms of any relevant contract).

It is fundamentally important in all applicable instances that the answers given should specify in what ways the answer would differ according to type of issuer, of intermediary or of security.

It is to be noted that the bulk of the questionnaire draws no distinction between (I)CSDs and other interm ediaries (in the sense proposed below). Answers should make the distinction wherever relevant.

Where helpful, please identify the source of law (for example, legislation, regulation, jurisprudence or doctrine). In the case of legislation specific to the subject-m atter of the questionnaire, please provide copies (or weblinks).

In this questionnaire, 'securities' is to be taken to mean all financial instruments (excluding cash balances unless explicitly asked for below) that embody entitlements and that can be subject to book-entry holding and transfer, irrespective of whether the holding can be characterised as direct or indirect.

In this questionnaire, 'rights in securities' is to be taken to mean both rights arising out of the instrum ent against the issuer or the ird parties and rights or entitlements of the holder in respect of the instrument as such, and 'rights in securities' is to be taken to be synonymous with 'interests in securities.'

In this questionnaire, 'interm ediary' is to be taken to m ean any person or entity that maintains positions regarding securities by way of book-entry. In this m eaning, note that intermediary does not exclude an entity that m aintains positions by way of book-entry for investors where according to the app licable law there is a direct relationship between the investor and the issuer. And in this questionnaire, 'securities accounts' is accordingly to be taken to m ean all acc ounts m aintained by interm ediaries where positions for clients regarding securities are entered by way of book-entry.

Please note also that som e issues are intentionally addressed more than once from different angles.

## Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 3 of 21 **QUESTIONS**

#### (0) In respect of what legal system are the following answers given?

This response confines itself to U.S. com mercial law, prim arily Article 8, specifically Part 5 of Article 8, and part s of Article 9, of the Unif orm Commercial Code ("UCC"); it does not discuss other la ws or regulations or rules, which m significantly affect aspects of the indirectly-held securities system, such as securities, tax, accounting, banking laws, regulations or rules, or any other laws, regulations or rules. The subject m atter of Article 8 is "Investment Securities" and the subject of Article 9 is "Secured Transactions." Ar ticle 8 and Article 9 have been adopted throughout the United States. The United States Treasury issues securities through the Federal Reserve Banks and persons holdi ng those securities on the books of the Reserve Banks do so through TRADES. The TRADES regulations apply Federal substantive law to certain aspects of the transactions at the level of the Federal Reserve Banks and provide f or the application of the substantive law of the securities intermediary's jurisdiction (as def ined in Article 8 of the UCC) for holdings of Treasury securities at the lower levels. In addition, persons m ay also hold Treasury securities directly through a system called "Treasury Direct" which is not designed for trading. This response does not discuss the Treasury regulations for TRADES or "Treasury Direct."

It is important to convey at the outset that Article 8 plays a limited role in the securities markets. Article 8 does not govern contracts for the purchase and sale of securities, clearing arrangements, or regulate the relationships between and among clearing corporations, brokers, or dealers, and their customers except to the extent such entities act as securities intermediaries. Article 8 and Article 9 simingly provide the rules for identifying the rights, interests, obligations and priorities of interests in securities, whether certificated or uncertificated, held directly or through intermediaries. As noted above, more any important issues regarding the securities markets in the United States are governed by State and Federal securities laws and regulations and State and Federal banking laws and regulations and are outside the scope of the UCC.

#### I. CONTENT AND STRUCTURE OF A LEGAL SYSTEM

#### **General aspects**

Before answering any of the specific questi ons posed, it's useful to set the stage by defining a few terms central to Article 8's f ramework for "indirectly held securities:" (1) the "securities account" is established by agreem ent between a securities interm ediary and its custom er and the securities interm ediary agrees to treat the person maintaining the account to which an indirectly held investment is credited as entitled to exercise the rights comprising the investment; (2) the "securities in termediary" is a person in the business of maintaining securities accounts for others, such as a bank or broker, and is acting in that capacity (as opposed to, e.g., a party to a trade); (3) the "financial asset" is the investment held indirectly (m ore specifically defined below); (4) the "securities entitlement" is the name given to the property rights and interests of the person holding a financial asset through a securities account; and (5) an "entitlement holder" is the person having a security entitlement to a financial asset against its securities intermediary (the "investor" or "customer" in the questions). These terms are used throughout this response.

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 4 of 21

In addition, references to Article 8 in the responses are in the following form : "8-XXX," XXX being the section of Article 8 referenced.

(1) What are securities? Does a concept of securities such as is used in the Directive for Markets in Financial Instruments 2004/39/EC exist? If not, please describe the concepts used. What distinctions ( e.g. bearer, registered, physical, dematerialised , book-entry) are made and w ith what consequences?

Under Article 8, a security is "an obligation of an issuer or a share, participation or other interest in an issuer or in property or an enterprise of an issuer: (i) which is represented by a security certificate or in bearer or registered form, or the transfer of which may be registered upon books maintained for that purpose by or on behalf of the issuer, (ii) which is one of a class or series or by its terms is divisible into a class or series of shares, participations, interests, or obligations; and (iii) which: (A) is, or is of a type, dealt in or traded on securities exchanges or securities markets; or (B) is a medium for investment and by its terms expressly provides that it is a security governed by [Article 8]." 8-102(15).

In the Article 8 context, the term—security is not the only relevant concept, as any "financial asset" can be credited to a securities account. In addition to securities, financial assets include: (1) an obligation of a person or a share, participation, or other interest in a person or in property or an enterprise of a person, which is, or is of a type, dealt in or traded on financial markets, or which is recognized in any area in which it is issued or dealt in as a m—edium f or investment or any property which a securities intermediary agrees to treat as a f—inancial asset and (2) property which a securities intermediary agrees to treat as a financial asset. 8-102(9).

(2) In w hat manner are securities created and issued? What steps are necessary to have (existing or new ly issued) securities validly held and transferred with the involvement of intermediaries?

Issuance of securities is not the subject of Article 8. Most typically, an issuer issues a global certificate to a nom inee of the upper-tier intermediary, which then credits interests in that security to securities accounts me aintained on its books. Securities can also be issued entirely in dematerialized form.

An entitlement holder can acquire a security entitlement in only one of three ways: (1) the securities intermediary credits a financial asset to the entitlement holder's securities account; (2) the securities intermediary accepts a financial asset for credit to the entitlement holder's securities account; or (3) the securities intermediary is obligated by law to credit a financial asset to the entitlement holder's securities account (a security entitlement implied in law).

#### **Securities accounts**

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 5 of 21

What is a securities account? What is its role and function? What are the relevant custody, commercial, accounting and tax laws?

A securities account is an account to which a financial asset is credited or may be credited pursuant to an agreement under which the person maintaining the account "undertakes to treat the person for whom the account is maintained as entitled to exercise the rights that comprise the financial asset." 8-501. When a financial asset has been credited to a securities account a person acquires a security entitlement with respect to that financial asset.

(4) What securities may be credited to securities accounts? May cash be credited to securities accounts and, if so, does the account-holder have a right enforceable against third part ies or against the intermediary only? What is the nature of such right?

As noted above, any "financial asset" m ay be credited to a securities account. The securities interm ediary can agree to treat essentially anything, including cash, credited to the securities account as a financial asset. For a discussion of the rights of entitlement holders against third parties and interm ediaries, *see* the answer to question 7.

(5) Must the investor be recorded by name on the books of an upper-tier intermediary or of the issuer?

No, and, in fact, the ultimate investor will almost never be recorded by name on the books of an upper-tier intermediary or the issuer.

#### Nominee and omnibus accounts

May securities be credited to a securities account in the name of a person or entity who is acting on behalf of another (i) where the existence of the other is not indicated and (ii) where the existence but not the identity of the other is indicated? May the securities account be opened in the name of the person or entity who is maintaining the account? May securities be credited to a securities account in the name of a person or entity who is acting on behalf of more than one other, *i.e.* such that those others hold a collect ive securities position, rather than segregated individual positions per person? Is the person or entity in whose name the securities account is credited (if different from the person or entity maintaining the account) considered to be an intermediary? Does that person or entity have to disclose whether it is acting on behalf of investors and, if so, their identities?

Securities may be credited to a securitie s account in the name of a person or entity that is acting on behalf of another, such as a trustee, agent, or investment advisor, where the existence of the other is not indicated. In addition, a trustee, agent or advisor may indicate the capacity in which it acts without identifying specifically the names of its customers. An intermediary may satisfy its obligation to maintain financial assets corresponding to its securities entitlements by maintaining those assets with one or more other securities intermediaries and would typically hold those assets in a collective position. Typically, a broke r will maintain 2 accounts at its clearing bank, a "proprietary account" and a "customer account." In its proprietary account, the

## Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 6 of 21 broker holds its own securities and in its custom er account it collectively holds securities for its customers (without identifying the customers).

#### **Nature of rights**

(7) What rights arise w hen securities a re credited to securities accounts? Is there a specific regime for establishing these rights? Are these rights characterised as a claim, an intangible, a chattel, or a new and separate legal asset, distinct from the underlying securities, w hich can be the object of proprietary rights (e.g. ownership, security interest, usufruct) and proprietary dispositions (e.g. sale, pledge, loan)? What obligations of the investor may also arise?

Article 8 establishes the rights of an entitlem ent holder in the security entitlements credited to its securities account. Security entitlement is defined broadly as the "rights" and "property interests" of an "entitlement holder" specified by Part 5 of Article 8 with respect to a "financial asset." 8-102(17).

#### 1. The "property interest"

A security entitlem ent involves a property interest in the financial asset (as contrasted with in personam rights against the securities interm ediary) only to the extent it includes rights to the financial a sset enforceable against other persons. 8-104(c) limits an entitlem ent holder's interest as a "purchaser" of a financial asset to the rights enum erated in 8-503. 8-503(a) pr ovides that financial assets held by a securities interm ediary are "not property of the securities interm ediary" and are exempt from claims of general creditors of the securities intermediary (but not certain secured creditors). The subsection further provides that financial assets are held by a securities intermediary for its entitlement holders "to the extent necessary" to meet its obligations to entitlem ent holders. This provision protects the entitlem ent holder from the securities intermediary's general creditors and, thus, provides some property interest, but it does not empow er the entitlem ent holder to assert rights against any person other than its intermediary, except in the very limited circumstances described below.

8-503(b) describes the entitlement holder's property interest in a financial asset as a "pro rata property interest" in all interests in that f inancial asset held by the securities interm ediary. This *pro rata* interest in the fungible bulk of a particular financial asset, however, is **not** a claim to a specific asset held by the financial intermediary. 8-102, comment 17. The drafters refer to the entitlement holder as having obtained a property interest "only in the sense that under Section 8-503 a security entitlement is treated as a *sui generis* form of property interest." 8-104, comment 2.

Under subsection 8-503(c), enforcement of that property interest against the securities intermediary is limited to the rights enumerated in Sections 8-505 through 8-508. (These are discussed below in the discussion of "rights" against the securities intermediary.)

## Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 7 of 21 a. "rights" against third parties

Article 8 provides an entitlem ent holder lim ited rights in the f inancial asset against persons other than its securities interm ediary. The entitlem ent holder has no ability to exercise econom ic or other rights to the financial asset directly against the issuer; however, the securities interm ediary has an obligation to obtain and pass on those economic rights to the entitlem ent holder and to exercise ownership rights on behalf of the entitlem ent holder as f urther described below. Part 5 of Article 8 only enumerates limited property interests enforceable against "purchasers," (which term is defined in Section 1-201(33) of the UCC to include essentially any recipient of a voluntary transfer, including a secured party, which could be an upper tier intermediary) and describes no rights against the issuer of the financial assets. 8-102, comment 17.

Much of the indirect holding system involves at least two tiers of securities intermediaries (meaning that the financial asset is a securities entitlem ent). Article 8 does not give an entitlem ent holder any rights against an upper-tier interm ediary, except as described below.

Article 8 does include rights of an en titlement holder against purchasers of a financial asset underlying a security entitlem ent, but only in "extrem ely unusual circumstances." 8-503, com ment 2. Such a circum stance arises when each of the following conditions have been m et: First, the securities interm ediary is subject to insolvency proceedings. Before the entitle ment holder can pursue rights against the purchaser, the adm inistrator in the securities in term ediary's insolvency proceeding must have elected not to pursue those rights. Second, the securities intermediary does not have sufficient financial assets to meet its obligations to entitlement holders. Third, the transf er of the f inancial asset to that particular purchaser violated the securities interm ediary's obligation to maintain sufficient interests in the faminian asset. Fourth, the purchaser is not entitled to protection under 8-503(e). 8-503(e) protects any purchaser who has given value a nd obtained control of the financial asset from any action based on the entitlem ent holder's property interest unless that purchaser colluded with the securities inte rmediary in violating its duties to the entitlement holder. The vast majority of purchasers qualify for this protection.

#### b. "rights" against its securities intermediary

Article 8 gives an entitlem ent holder a num ber of specific rights against its securities interm ediary. The rights an entitlem ent holder m ay enf orce against the securities intermediary are limited to enforcement of the securities intermediary's Article 8 obligations. There are eight statutory obligations, listed below as <sup>(1)</sup> through <sup>(8)</sup>.

#### *i. statutory obligations*

The first set of obligations relate to the entitlement holder's receipt of the economic and corporate rights the at make up the financial asset. A securities intermediary must take action<sup>(1)</sup> to obtain a payment or distribution made by the issuer of a financial asset. 8-505(a). This is accompanied by an alm ost absolute obligation (subject to set-off or counterclaim) to the entitlement holder<sup>(2)</sup> to pass along payments or distributions made by the issuer of a financial asset and received by the securities intermediary. 8-505(b). (Note that the obligation to pass through economic benefits of the financial asset is the only obligation of a securities intermediary not subject to

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 8 of 21

limitation by agreem ent or a commercial reasonableness standard. 8-505(b).) The securities intermediary is obligated <sup>(3)</sup> to exercise ownership rights with respect to the financial asset on behalf of the entitlement holder--these rights encompass such things as voting rights, conversion rights, right stom ake demand for payment of an instrument which is a financial asset, and rights to enforce legal obligations. 8-506, comments 3-4.

The second set of obligations relate to protecting the entitlement holder from the financial risk of the securities intermediary. The securities interm ediary must<sup>(4)</sup> promptly obtain and maintain sufficient quantities of the financial asset to satisfy the claims of its entitlement holders. 8-504(a). The only exception to this requirement is for "a clearing corporation that is itself—the obligor of an option." 8-504(d). The securities intermediary also has an obligation not <sup>(5)</sup> to grant security interests in the financial assets held for entitlement holders without agreement. 8-504(b).

The final three obligations relate to complying with entitlement orders or directions from the entitlement holder. An "entitlement order" directs the securities intermediary to "transfer or [redeem] a financial asset to which the entitlement holder has a security entitlement." 8-102(a)(8). The entitlement order only directs the transfer; it is not an order to sell the financial asset.

The securities interm ediary m ust<sup>(6)</sup> com ply with an entitlem ent order, if originated by the entitlement holder and the securities interm ediary has (1) reasonable opportunity to assure itself of genuineness and authenticity and (2) reasonable opportunity to comply. 8-507(a). If the s ecurities intermediary acts on an ineffective entitlement order, it m ust<sup>(7)</sup> re-establish a security entitlem ent and pay or credit any distributions or payments not received as a result of a wrongful tran sfer. 8-507(b). If the securities intermediary does not re-establish the security entitlement, it is liable for damages. 8-507(b). Finally, the securities interm ediary has a duty <sup>(8)</sup> to "act at the direction of an entitlem ent holder to change a security entitlem ent into another available form of holding for which the entitle ment holder is eligible, or to cause the financial asset to be transferred to a securities account of the entitlement holder with another securities intermediary." 8-508.

#### ii. standards of performance

A securities intermediary satisfies its obligations under Article 8 by complying with other legal requirem ents, by exercisi ng due care in accordance with reasonable commercial standards, or by perform ing its duties as specified by agreem ent. 8-504(c)(1)-(2); 8-505(a)(1)-(2); 8-506(1)-(2); 8-507(a)(1)-(2); 8-508(a)(1)-(2); 8-509. A securities interm ediary's compliance with another statute, regulation, or rule satisfies this Article 8 duty if the substance of the duty is the subject of that other legal requirement. 8-509(a). To the extent not covered by statute, regulation, rule, or by the party's agreem ent, duties are to be perfor med and rights are to be exercised in a "commercially reasonable manner." 8-509(b).

A securities interm ediary m ay withhold perform ance of its obligations because of unfulfilled obligations the entitlement holder has to the securities intermediary. 8-509(c). This right to withhold perform ance may arise out of a

Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 9 of 21 security interest, under a security ag reement with the entitlem ent holder or otherwise, or under other law or agreement. 8-509(c).

## (8) What is the legal position of the securities credited to an investor's securities account?

As stated above, to the extent necessary to satisfy securities entitlem ents with respect to a financial asset, the interests held in that financial asset by the intermediary are held f or entitlem ent holders and are not property of the securities interm ediary. Thus, the securities interm ediary does not "o wn" the f inancial assets credited to the securities accounts maintained on its books, although it may be reflected in the books of the issuer or its transfer agent as the registered holder or have a security entitlement (or be an investor/account holder) in respect of an upper-tier intermediary. The securities intermediary may have a security interest in those financial assets, if it extended credit to the entitlement holder to purchase such financial assets or if it has otherwise obtained the agreement of the entitlement holder that those financial assets secured other obligations the entitlement holder owes the securities intermediary.

# (9) Is there any distinction between (i) the rights arising out of the securities against the issuer and (ii) the rights in respect of holding the security?

Yes. If one holds securities indirectly through a securities interm ediary as a securities entitlem ent rather than directly, the specif ic rights the holder has are described by and determ ined by Part V of Article 8-505 through 508. There is no direct exercise of rights against the issuer. However, the issuer cannot raise any defenses against the entitlem ent holder that it could not assert against the entitlem ent holder if the entitlement holder held the security directly.

# (10) Where securities are held in pooled form ( e.g. a collective securities position, rather than segregated individual positions per person), does the investor have rights attaching to particular securities in the pool?

No. The security entitlement holder does not have rights attaching to particular securities in the pool, he has a *pro rata* share of the interests in the financial asset held by its securities interm ediary to the am ount needed to satisfy the aggregate claim s of the entitlem ent holders in that issue. This is true even if investor positions are "segregated."

# (11) In what manner does the investor acquire rights in respect of securities credited to his securities account ( *i.e.* is the transferee's right in the securities derived from the right of the transferor or is it originally created in the moment of crediting in his favour)?

The investor acquires rights in respect of the f inancial assets credited to his securities account at the moment the credit is made (*i.e.*, the security entitlement is created). 8-501(b)(1). The investor may also acquire such rights when a securities intermediary receives a financial asset from the investor or acquires a financial asset for the investor and, in either case, accepts that financial asset for credit to the investor's account. 8-501(b)(2). Finally, the investor may acquire such rights when the securities intermediary becomes obligated by other law, regulation or rule to credit a financial asset to the investor's securities account. 8-501(b)(3).

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 10 of 21

What legal effects arise from a credit entry on a securities account (e.g. book-entry as conferring or evidencing the root of title, book-entry as a replacement for the possession of the do cument of title, book-entry as an essential element for exercising the rights attaching to securities, other rights or obligations)? Please distinguish the legal effects against (i) the issuer, (ii) the intermediar y, (iii) an upper-tier intermediary (or intermediaries) or (iv) third parties?

The holder has those rights explained above in the answer to question 7 against its securities interm ediary and against third parties. The holder obtains its econom ic rights and other ownership rights to the fina notial asset through its interm ediary. The entitlement holder's rights are good against third parties unless it granted a security interest or took the entitlem ent with notice of an adverse claim (*see also* answers to questions 23-25).

(13) Is the investor entitled to set-off or net rights against the intermediary in respect of securities w ith obligations that investor might have to the intermediary?

Article 8 would not afford an investor these rights, and it would seem unusual for an account agreement to provide this right.

(14) Is the intermediary entitled to set-off or net obligations to the investor in respect of securities with rights the intermediary might have against the investor? Can any such entitlement be altered by contract?

Article 8 does not afford an interm ediary these rights. Securities account agreements typically give the securities interm ediary a security interest in the contents of a securities account in respect to credit extended to the customer by its intermediary. In addition, a securities interm ediary has an automatic perfected lien in securities that entitlement holders have purchased with credit extended by the securities intermediary. 9-206(a), (b); 9-328(3).

(15) Is the investor protected against the insolvency of an intermediary and, if so, how? Does the investor have to rely on the intervention of a court or liquidator? In w hat way is the answer different if the insolvency is of an upper-tier intermediary?

Under Article 8, an investor is protected against the insolvency of its securities intermediary insofar as the security entitle ments credited to the investor's securities account are not part of the securities intermediary's bankruptcy estate (and likewise, an investor is protected from the insolvency of an upper-tier intermediary). However, an investor is always vulnerable to a securities intermediary that does not itself—have interests in a financial asset sufficient to cover all of the securities entitlements that it has created in that financial asset. This is best illustrated by example:

if a securities interm ediary (S I) become s insolvent, and it is discovered that SI created total security entitlements to 500 shares of Company X in the securities accounts of 5 entitlement holders (10 shares each) on SI's books, but that SI itself had a security entitlement of only 100 shares of Company X on the books of an upper-tier securities intermediary, under Article 8, each entitlement holder holding through SI would only get 20 shares of Company X,

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 11 of 21

*i.e.*, its *pro rata* share of SI's interest in Company X. (The Article 8 insolvency distribution schem e does not apply to all insolvent securities intermediaries, and other insolvency distributions schemes applicable to som e types of securities interm ediaries might require different results.)

The interests of an entitlement holder in the financial assets trump the interests of any of the securities intermediary's creditors that have a security interest in the same financial asset. 8-511(a). Note that this rule has two exceptions. If the secured creditor has "control" over the f inancial asset it will have priority over entitlem ent holders who have securities entitlem ent with respect to that financial asset. 8-511(b). If the securities intermediary is a clearing corporation, the claims of its creditors have priority over the claims of entitlement holders. 8-511(c). (This second exception is to allow for the secured financing that aids in clearing corporations' settlement activities.)

Article 8's lim ited protection for investors is "prem ised on the view that the important policy of protecting investors ag ainst the risk of wrongful conduct by their intermediaries is sufficiently treated by other law." 8-511, com ment 2. The "other law" includes, among others, Federal and State banking law and Federal securities law which require a securities interm ediary to separately account for custom er securities versus proprietary securities, and the Securities Investor Protection Act, which protects investors against losses up to \$500,000 for cash and securities (of which only \$100,000 can be to reim burse cash claim s) held at firms which are m embers of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (as are all securities firms that are also required to register as broker-dealers).

(16) What liability does the intermed intermediaries or (ii) other third performance of its functions? M contract?

iary have (i) for upper-tier parties that it may rely on for the ay any such liability be altered by

A securities in term ediary has a duty unde r Article 8 to obtain and m aintain sufficient quantities of financial assets to satisfy the claims of its entitlement holders. 8-504(a). In satisfying that duty, the securitie s intermediary must either (1) act with respect to the duty as agreed upon by th e entitlem ent holder and the securities intermediary or (2) in the absence of an agreement, exercise due care in accordance with reasonable com mercial standards. 8-504(c). Though the standard m av be specified by agreem ent, the official comments to 8-504 and UCC Section 1-302(b) provide that the duty m ay not be discla imed. Moreover, the official com specifically indicate that the duty of care applies in the securities in term ediary's selection of its own securities interm ediary or interm ediaries through whom the intermediary holds financial assets. In de termining whether the duty is satisfied or breached in the selection of the securities intermediary's own interm ediary where it holds financial assets to satisfy its own entitle ment holders claims, one looks in part to rmediary has little or no choice in the custom and practice and whether the inte selection of the intermediary, which may be the case when holding foreign securities in a securities account.

The interaction between statutory duties a nd the provisions of the agreem ent between the securities interm ediary and its custom er is complex and nuanced. Note that the official comments to Section 8-504 are quite lengthy, and evidence a strong interest in the many risks a securities intermediary may contract around, particularly with respect

Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 12 of 21

to foreign securities and foreign custodians. This is an area which is heavily regulated and satisfaction of a regulatory duty constitutes compliance with the substance of a duty (imposed in 8-504 through 8-508) under 8-509(a).

#### **Transfer of securities**

(17) What steps are necessary for securities to be transferred? Please elaborate both operational and legal steps. Do these steps differ as regards the effectiveness between the parties to the transfer and vis-à-vis third-parties (e.g. perfection requirements)?

A transfer of an interest in securities typically requires an agreem ent between the transferee and the transferor, although fo r a sale no writing is required and for a pledge a writing is often but not always required. A transfer as between those parties may be "effective" without the steps described below occurring, although the respective parties rights against and vulne rabilities to m any third parties, including their respective securities intermediaries, will be affected if those steps have not occurred.

In the indirect holding system —, s ecurity entitlem—ents are created and extinguished--that accomplishes the settlem ent of securities transactions, m uch like a payment of bank m—oney. Operationally, Party A, having a securities account at Securities Intermediary X containing a security entitlement to Security I, might instruct its Securities Intermediary X to transfer or deliver Security I to Party B, also having a securities account at Security Interm—ediary X. Securities Interm—ediary X will simultaneously create a security entitlem—ent to Security I in Party B's securities account and extinguish the security entitlem—ent to Security I in Party A's securities account.

(18) What is the object of the transfer of securities ( *e.g.* a claim against the intermediary, a *sui generis* right, the security itself)?

There is no "object" that is transf erred. A security entitlem ent is created, and another security entitlem ent is usually sim ultaneously extinguished. A security entitlement comprises the rights and interests explained in the answer to question 7.

(19) At exactly what moment or moments in time does a transferee become entitled, and to what? At what moment or moments in time does the transferor become disentitled?

These rights are mostly determined by the system rules, which are outside the scope of Article 8. As f ar as Article 8 is concerned, the interests and rights described in the answer to question 7 are related to the time in which the security entitlement is created/extinguished.

(20) Which concepts of finality ( *e.g.* unconditionality, irrevocability, enforceability) apply to transfers of securities? Is any such concept chosen by an intermediary or impose d by law? Do they relate to the

Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 13 of 21 transfer orders, the settlement, the passing of title or own ership, the fulfilment of the underlying obligations, or other?

Concepts of finality are not addressed in Article 8. Finality m ay be the subject of the rules of any securities interm ediary or clearinghouse or other law or regulation. However, the Article 8 rules generally and in particular the rules on adverse claim s contribute to the certainty of rights to f inancial assets and securities entitlem ent thereto.

(21) What would be the effect on concep ts of finality of each of (i) a revocation of transfer instructions, (ii) the debiting of provisional or erroneous credits; (iii) insolvency challenges, (iv) fraud? Are there specific rules relating to erroneous entries on accounts?

See answer to question 20.

(22) Are there specific rules relating to conditional transfers of rights, *i.e.* rules which specify that transfers of securities are considered to be conditional and which would allow (re-)debiting or reversal and, if so, under what circumstances? What position does the receiving investor have as a result of such credits?

See answer to question 20.

#### **Priorities**

(23) What rules apply w hen (i) competing claims are asserted against the intermediary; (ii) competing claims are asserted respectively against the intermediary and an upper-tier intermediary?

An entitlement holder claiming an interest in a financial asset credited to its securities account maintained with a securities intermediary will share *pro rata* with other entitlement holders claim ing interests in the same financial asset credit to their securities accounts at the securities intermediary. The *pro rata* share will be a share of the securities intermediary's own total interest in the financial asset in question. 8-511(a). That claim will take priority over the claims of other creditors of the securities intermediary, subject to a few exceptions, explained further in the answer to question 25.

Among parties with a security interest in a financial asset credited to a securities account, the party that has perfected its security interest by control will beat a party that has perfected its security interest by filing. Special rules apply when the creditor asserting that security interest is the securities interm ediary, the securities intermediary's securities interm ediary, or a clearing corporation, discussed in more detail in the answer to question 15.

Note that Charles Mooney has provided additional responses to this question and questions 24-25 and 29-33.

(24) What rules protect a transferee acting in good faith (the 'bona fide purchaser')? What are the limits of the bona fide protection?

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 14 of 21

Article 8 includes three specif ic rules to protect purchasers: One rule protects the entitlem ent holder f rom adverse claim s asserted against it to the financial asset if the entitlement holder acquired the security entitlement for value and without notice of the adverse claim s. 8-502. (Note that Section 8-116 m ay make the securities in term ediary a "purchas er for value" of the f inancial asset. Thus, the securities in term ediary has the rights of a purchaser when it needs to assert those rights against third persons.) The second rule sim ilarly protects from adverse claim's a person who purchased a f inancial asset or security entitlem ent from an entitlement holder if the purchaser gave value, had no notice of the adverse claim and obtained control of the security entitlement. 8-510(a). The third rule protects a purchaser of a financial asset against claims of an entitlement holder to a property interest in that f inancial asset, by *limiting* the entitlement holder's ability to enforce that claim against the purchaser to those instances where: (i) the securities intermediary is insolvent, (ii) the securities intermediary does not have sufficient interests in the financial asset to satisfy the security entitlements of all of its entitlem ent holders to that asset. (iii) the securities interm ediary violated its obligation to keep sufficient interests in the financial asset by transferring it to the purchaser, and (iv) the purchaser either (a) di dn't give value. (b) didn't obtain control, or (c) colluded with the securities intermediary in its f ailure to m eet the obligation to hold sufficient financial assets to satisfy all of its entitlement holders security entitlem ents in such financial asset. 8-503(d). Essentially, unless the purchaser was involved in the wrongdoing of the securities interm entitlement holder will be precluded from raising a claim against it.

## (25) Are there rules regarding liens of intermediaries over investor's securities accounts? If so, what are they and are they mandatory?

A securities intermediary may not grant a security interest in a f inancial asset that it is required to m aintain in order to meet all of its custom ers' claims to that financial asset, except with the agreement of the relevant customer.

A securities interm ediary that has extended credit to an entitlem ent holder to purchase a financial asset m aintained by an entitlem ent holder in a securities account maintained at that securities interm ediary has a statutory lien over those f inancial assets, and that lien has priority over a ll other liens. 9-206(a), (b); 9-328(3). A securities intermediary m ay also, by agreem ent with the entitlem ent holder, have a security interest in f inancial assets credited to the entitlem ent holder's securities account to secure obligations the entitlement holder may owe the securities intermediary.

#### **Upper-tier attachment**

(26) Can the investor enforce rights against an upper-tier intermediary (i) normally, (ii) in the event of breach of duty by the intermediary, (iii) in the event of breach of duty by the upper-tier intermediary, (iv) if the event is insolvency rather than breach of duty?

Generally, no. The investor has no rights under Article 8 against an upper-tier intermediary, as upper-tier intermediary *per se*. The investor may have rights against an upper-tier intermediary to the extent—it colluded with the investor's securities intermediary to violate the securities intermediary's obligations to entitlement holders and certain other conditions, detailed in the answer to question 24. 8-503(d).

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 15 of 21

In what circumstances can (i) a cred itor and (ii) a non-creditor thirdparty (such as a liquidator) of the investor claim securities from an upper-tier intermediary?

8-112 explains where a creditor's claim against an entitlem ent holder's security entitlem ent m ay be m ade: only by legal process upon the entitlem ent holder's securities intermediary. Process directed at an upper-tier interm ediary will be ineffective. (If the interest of the debt or the creditor is claim ing is in a security entitlement maintained in the name of a secured party, the creditor m ay reach that interest by legal process upon the secured party. 8-112(d).) Under Article 8, a creditor or third-party such as a liquidator of an investor m ight be able to m ake a claim to financial assets against an upper-tier intermediary in the circum stances set forth in 8-503(d), detailed in the answer to question 24.

(28) In what circumstances can (i) a cred itor and (ii) a non-creditor third-party (such as a liquidator) of the intermediary claim securities from an upper-tier intermediary?

This answer assum es that the question refers to an upper-tier intermediary of the securities intermediary itself. 8-112 explains where a creditor's claim against an entitlement holder's security entitlement may be made: only by legal process upon the entitlement holder's (in this case the securities intermediary's) securities intermediary. Process directed at an upper-tier intermediary will be ineffective. (If the interest of the debtor the creditor is claiming is in a security entitlement maintained in the name of a secured party, the creditor may reach that interest by legal process upon the secured party. 8-112(d).) Note that the attachable assets of a securities intermediary are net of the financial assets deemed not owned by the securities intermediary (*i.e.*, proprietary financial assets). Under Article 8, a credito ror third-party such as a liquidator of a securities intermediary might be able to make a claim to financial assets against an upper-tier intermediary in the circum stances set forth in 8-503(d), detailed in the answer to question 24.

#### **Shortfalls**

(29) Is a shortfall ( *i.e.* the intermediary's position w ith an upper-tier intermediary is less than the agg regate recorded position of the intermediary's account-holders) at the level of the intermediary possible? What rules are applied to resolve the resulting difference of positions? Are there any rules on ho w to handle such a situation from an accounting point of view (for example through an interim securities debit balance)? How are shortfalls handled in practice?

In the general term s of Article 8, a s hortfall should not happen. A securities intermediary m ay not create security entitle ments greater than its interests in a particular security. 8-504. A securities in termediary could obviously violate that requirement. The only rule in such instances is that the security entitlem ent holders simply share *pro rata* in the interests held by the securities interm ediary. That rule applies at each level. That is, the holdings of each securities intermediary holding a security entitlement through an upper-tier intermediary will be reduced to its *pro rata* share of the upper-tier securities intermediary's holdings. In turn, each entitlem ent holder holding through one of those securities intermediary will have its holdings reduced to its *pro rata* share of its securities intermediary's holdings.

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 16 of 21

This no-shortf all rule is a general requirement that is dealt with in more specificity in other regulatory law, compliance with which constitutes compliance with the above-referenced section of Article 8. 8-509(a). In certain circum stances, those rules do allow for temporary shortfalls. For example, in the case of fails, a firm is permitted a certain period of time to clear up any resulting shortfall before it would be required to obtain the necessary securities from some other source. In actual fact, shortfalls occur frequently due to fails and for other reasons, but are of no general consequence except in the case of the securities intermediary's insolvency.

#### (30) What duty is there on the intermediary to avoid shortfalls?

See answer to question 29. Article 8 requires a securities in term ediary to maintain a financial asset in quantities at 1 east equal to the security entitlem ents it has established in favour of entitlement holders. 8-504(a). Article 8 allows for this duty to be satisfied by compliance with other applicable law. 8-509(a).

(31) Does the treatment of shortfalls differ according to w hether there is (i) no fault on the part of the intermedia ry, (ii) if fault, fraud or (iv) if fault, negligence or similar breach of duty? Does the treatment of shortfalls differ according to w hether the intermediary is solvent or insolvent?

As noted above, a securities in term ediary has a duty under Article 8 (that duty may be impacted by other law or regulations) to not create security entitlements greater than its interests in a particular security. Breach of that duty (or other applicable law or regulations) may result in various sanctions against or other liability of the securities intermediary. In terms of the interest that the entitlement holders have in the financial assets credited to it securities account: regard less of fault, fraud, or negligence of the securities in term ediary, under Article 8, the entitlem ent holder has only a pro rata share in the securities in term ediary's interest in the financial asset in question. Entitlement holders m ay have other claim s against the securities in term ediary (e.g., damages for breach of its Article 8 or ot her applicable duty). This is of little consequence absent the insolvency of the securities in term ediary. In Article 8's insolvency scheme, the *pro rata* analysis applies as well, but other insolvency or other regulatory schemes may trump Article 8, leading to a different result. In addition, note that the collusion of the securities intermediary with a third-party purchaser might give the customer claims against that purchaser. See answer to question 24.

## (32) Can the responsibility of the interm ediary for negligence or w ilful behaviour (e.g. of its employees) be contractually excluded or reduced?

This is not addressed in Article 8, othe r than as discussed in the answer to question 7, in part 2.b.ii. Generally, parties can contract for the standard of care. The extent to which a securities in term ediary can contract its way out of liability for basic negligence or wilful behaviour is probably limited by other law.

## (33) If at any level the underlying secu rities are physical, w hat is the position if they are destroyed, *e.g.* stolen, burned, ruined by water?

Where a securities in term ediary obtained registered securities to support its security entitlements, if a registered certificate is destroyed, a replacement may be

### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 17 of 21 stained pursuant to the rules of 8-405 who lich may require posting of an indeminity

obtained pursuant to the rules of 8-405 wh ich may require posting of an indem nity bond or meeting other requirements of the issuer. In the rare case in which a securities intermediary has obtained a registered secur ity and has not yet had it re-registered in its own name, the rights of entitlement holders depend on whether the intermediary exercised reasonable commercial standards of care. If it did, the entitlement holders have no greater rights against the intermediary than the intermediary has in the certificates. If it did not, the entitlement holders may further sue the intermediary for damages.

#### II. CORPORATE ACTIONS/VOTING RIGHTS<sup>1</sup>

(34) What are the rights of the invest or, and how do they operate in practice, as against (i) the issuer, (ii) the intermediary, (iii) the uppertier intermediary (a) in relation to voting or receiving of information on shareholders' meetings and (b) in relation to corporate actions, e.g. payments of dividends and coupons, and any other action that affects price or structure?

See answer to question 7.

(35) How can these rights be exercised? Who is entitled to assert rights against the issuer in respect of secu rities credited to a securities account? Under w hat circumstances is the intermediary required to pass benefits on to the investor? Ho w is this achieved if there is an omnibus or a nominee account?

See answer to question 7.

(36) How is it ensured that no more the an those so entitled exercise, or benefit from, the rights attaching to securities?

See answer to question 7.

(37) Is the investor entitled to exercise a right to set-off or net against the issuer rights in respect of securities with obligations that the investor might have to the issuer?

No.

III. CHOICE OF THE SECURITIES LOCATION/PLACE OF ISSUE

(38) Are there any rules and, if so, what that have the effect of restricting an issuer's ability to choose the legal a nd/or operational location of its securities for the purposes of the issue process?

The issuer's jurisdiction is not relevant for purposes of Article 8's rules on the indirect holding system.

These questions are of equal interest to, and may overlap with enquiries made by, those in the Commission dealing with company law and corporate governance issues.

#### IV. THE CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION

#### Generally

(39) Are foreign securities, meaning those that are (i) governed by a foreign law (ii) issued by a foreign entit y, (iii) issued w ithin in a foreign jurisdiction or (iv) issued in a foreign currency, treated differently from domestic ones and, if so, how (as regards the issuer, intermediaries and investors)? Do es the answer depend on the foreign country to which the securities are related?

For purposes of determ ining the right s and obligations of a securities intermediary, an entitlement holder having a securities account there, and third parties asserting claims to the financial assets credited to that securities account, the only relevant jurisdiction is "the local law of the securities in term ediary's jurisdiction" 8-110(b). A securities in term ediary's jurisdiction is either (the first of the following list to apply): first, that jurisdiction specified for purpos es of this particular section of Article 8 as the jurisdiction of the securities intermediary in the agreement between the securities intermediary and the entitlement holder; second, that jurisdiction specified in the agreement between the securities in term ediary and the entitlem ent holder, as the governing law of the agreem ent); third, the jurisdiction in which the of fice of the securities interm ediary at which the account is m aintained, as specified in the agreement between the securities interm ediary and the entitlem ent holder, is located; fourth, the jurisdiction in which the of fice of the securities intermediary identified in the account statement as the office serving the entitlement holders' account is located; and fi fth, the jurisdiction in which the chie f executive of fice of the securities intermediary is located. 8-110(e).

#### **Specifically**

(40) Are there any rules which specifically define a domestic investor's right to foreign securities credited to a domestic account? If so, what is the nature of the right given and does it differ from the right of investor to domestic securities?

If the "dom estic account" is a securities account governed by maintained at a securities intermediary in the United States, then the investor's rights under Article 8 do not depend on whether the financial asset in its securities account is a foreign security or a domestic security—its Article 8 rights and interests are the same.

(41) Does the protection of a domestic investor differ in relation to the holding of foreign securities (i) w ith a domestic intermediary or (ii) with a foreign intermediary, e.g. in case of the insolvency of the intermediary?

The identity of the foreign country is irrelevant, but, given the cascade described in the answer to Question 39, an investor holding through a foreign intermediary might not have its rights determ ined under Article 8 unless the account agreement had the appropriate selection. In the event of the insolvency of the

Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 19 of 21 intermediary, the "lex concursus" will determ ine the rights of the investors. In the United States, the relevant insolvency la w will differ depending on the type of entity (bank, broker/dealer) that acts as intermediary.

(42) Are foreign intermediaries (w here (i) the headquarters, (ii) a branch or (iii) an office is in a foreign jurisdic tion) treated differently from domestic ones? Does the answ er depend on w hich country the foreign intermediaries are related to?

An investor holding securities indirectly through a securities interm ediary will not be entitled to the protections of Ar ticle 8 unless the agreem ent governing the securities account specifically identifies the jurisdiction as an Article 8 jurisdiction

(43) How is finality (in the meaning of questions 20 and 21) achieved for transactions involving (i) foreign interm ediaries or (ii) links between more than one intermediary? Does the eanswer depend on the type of intermediary or securities?

Finality is not addressed in Article 8.

(44) Do foreign intermediaries w hich hold domestic securities need a special authorised status in order to convey rights to its investors? How are foreign intermediaries recognised when entering into a link with domestic intermediaries?

This is not addressed in Article 8.

(45) Under what rules may domestic investors acquire foreign securities?

This is not addressed in Article 8.

(46) Under what rules may domestic investors use foreign intermediaries?

This is not addressed in Article 8. Ho wever, it may be addressed by regulatory law. For exam ple, the Securities Exchange Com mission im poses regulatory requirements on investment companies (mutual funds) that use foreign interm ediaries as custodians for their assets.

(47) Are there any regulatory or other restrictions affecting foreign investors exercising shareholders' rights in do mestic securities, or inhibiting domestic investors from exercising foreign rights?

There may be, but such restrictions are not found in Article 8.

#### V. PUBLIC LAW AND REGULATORY CONTEXT

(48) What rules are applicable to the existence, establishment and operation of intermediaries (and where relevant for co-operation betwhen een particular intermediaries)?

Article 8 does not contain these rules.

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 20 of 21

(49) Who is entitled to maintain securities es accounts? Does the holding or transfer of securities on behalf of others require any license or any other authorisation from a public authority?

Article 8 does not address these questions.

(50) Is the access of investors to interm ediaries in another Member State affected by their access to central bank money and, if so, how?

N/A.

(51) Does an account agreement have to comply with any requirements as to form or content?

No.

(52) Are there any disclosure requirements on the intermediary regarding securities credited to securities acco unts (relating-to (i) taxation, (ii) company law, (iii) takeover regulation, (iv) money laundering, (v) control of regulated entities or (vi) any ot her matter). Is there any requirement to ascertain and/or disclose details of final investors (e.g. beneficial owners) of securities held with the intermediary?

Article 8 does not impose disclosure requirements on intermediaries.

(53) What data storage requirements are there?

Article 8 does not impose data storage requirements on intermediaries.

(54) Are there any transfer restrictions applicable to securities ( *e.g.* are transfers restricted to certain types of investors or intermediaries, is there a need for notifications or certificat ions, can delivery only occur against payment, is there a prohibition of ove r-the-counter transactions, etc.)? What is the effect of a breach such restrictions?

Article 8 validates issuer's restrictions on transfer; Federal securities laws contain transfer restrictions but the scope and consequences of such restrictions are beyond the scope of our advice here.

How is it effected that title to the securities passes from the seller to the buyer only at the very moment when the transfer of the purchase price from the buyer to the seller becomes effective (delivery versus payment (DvP))? Are the relevant rules established by an intermediary, by market conventions or imposed by law? Is the effectiveness of the credit to the securities account conditional upon the payment of the purchase price?

DvP rules are not part of Article 8, a nd generally are not im posed by law but rather through clearing and settlem ent sy stem rules, m arket convention and by contract. The issue of when title passe s or paym ent due would be addressed by contract between the buyer and the seller or exchange trading rules.

#### Case 1:10-cv-04518-LAP Document 255-9 Filed 07/11/12 Page 21 of 21

(56) Is the intermediary required to have information about final investors (e.g. beneficial owners) of securities before it takes any action in respect of such securities?

No.

(57) Is there any specific penal law protection in case of fraud on the side of the intermediary? Are there any other specific rules of penal law applicable to protect the investors' interest against appropriations or other encroachments by the intermediary upon investors' rights?

Yes, but not in Article 8. Such protec tions are found in other law, such as Federal and State securities laws and regula tions. For instance, state law m ay include (as New York State law does) a criminal rehypothecation statute, making it a crime for a securities intermediary to encumber a customer's securities without consent.

March 2005

Response: March 2006